Two-Micron All-Sky Survey J01542930+0053266: a new eclipsing M dwarf binary system

A. C. Becker,^{1*} E. Agol,¹ N. M. Silvestri,¹ J. J. Bochanski,¹ C. Laws,¹ A. A. West,^{1,2} G. Basri,² V. Belokurov,³ D. M. Bramich,^{3,4} J. M. Carpenter,⁵ P. Challis,⁶ K. R. Covey,⁶ R. M. Cutri,⁷ N. W. Evans,³ M. Fellhauer,³ A. Garg,⁶ G. Gilmore,³ P. Hewett,³ P. Plavchan,⁷ D. P. Schneider,⁹ C. L. Slesnick,⁵ S. Vidrih,^{3,8} L. M. Walkowicz¹ and D. B. Zucker³

¹ Department of Astronomy, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

- ⁶ Department of Physics, Harvard University, 17 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- ⁷ Infrared Processing and Analysis Centre, M/C 100-22, 770 S. Wilson Ave, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ⁸ Astronomisches Rechen-Institut/Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Mönchhofstrasse 12-14, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

⁹ Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, 525 Davey Laboratory, University Park, PA 16802, USA

Accepted 2008 January 28. Received 2008 January 24; in original form 2007 October 16

ABSTRACT

We report on Two-Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS) J01542930+0053266, a faint eclipsing system composed of two M dwarfs. The variability of this system was originally discovered during a pilot study of the 2MASS Calibration Point Source Working Data base. Additional photometry from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey yields an eight-passband light curve from which we derive an orbital period of 2.639 0157 \pm 0.000 0016 d. Spectroscopic followup confirms our photometric classification of the system, which is likely composed of M0 and M1 dwarfs. Radial velocity measurements allow us to derive the masses (M₁ = 0.66 \pm 0.03 M_{\odot}; M₂ = 0.62 \pm 0.03 M_{\odot}) and radii (R₁ = 0.64 \pm 0.08 R_{\odot}; R₂ = 0.61 \pm 0.09 R_{\odot}) of the components, which are consistent with empirical mass-radius relationships for low-mass stars in binary systems. We perform Monte Carlo simulations of the light curves which allow us to uncover complicated degeneracies between the system parameters. Both stars show evidence of H α emission, something not common in early-type M dwarfs. This suggests that binarity may influence the magnetic activity properties of low-mass stars; activity in the binary may persist long after the dynamos in their isolated counterparts have decayed, yielding a new potential foreground of flaring activity for next generation variability surveys.

Key words: binaries: eclipsing – stars: individual: 2MASS J01542930+0053266 – stars: low-mass, brown dwarfs.

1 INTRODUCTION

Low-mass dwarfs ($0.07 \le M_{\star} \le 0.7 \, M_{\odot}$) comprise ~75 per cent of all stars in the Milky Way, making them the most common luminous objects in the Galaxy (Reid, Hawley & Gizis 1995). A significant amount of theoretical work has been devoted to constructing models that describe the physical processes in the interiors and atmospheres of these low-mass stars (e.g. Burrows et al. 1993; Baraffe et al. 1998; Hauschildt, Allard & Baron 1999; Chabrier & Baraffe 2000).

Differences in the predictions of these models can be subtle, and distinguishing between them requires precise empirical constraints on fundamental stellar properties (mass, radius, luminosity and effective temperature; Ribas 2006). As low-mass stars are faint, small and possess complex spectra dominated by strong molecular bands, measuring these parameters is challenging. Double-lined eclipsing binaries with detached, low-mass components of similar spectral type offer the best opportunity for accurate and precise measurements of these fundamental properties.

Although binary systems are more common than single stars at high stellar masses (>1 M_{\odot} ; e.g. Abt 1983; Duquennoy, Mayor & Halbwachs 1991), binaries are not very common in low-mass stars

² Department of Astronomy, University of California, 601 Campbell Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3411, USA

³ Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingly Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA

⁴ Isaac Newton Group of Telescopes, Apartado de Correos 321, E-38700 Santa Cruz de la Palma, Canary Islands, Spain

⁵ Department of Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, Mail Code 105-24, 1200 East California Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

^{*}E-mail: becker@astro.washington.edu

(Leinert et al. 1997; Reid & Gizis 1997; Delfosse et al. 2004). In fact, the combination of low binary fraction and the sheer dominance (by number) of low-mass stars suggests that most of the stars in the Galaxy are actually single stars (Lada 2006). Because of the low binary fractions and the faintness of M dwarfs, relatively few low-mass main-sequence binaries have been studied (e.g. Ribas 2003; Creevey et al. 2005; López-Morales & Ribas; 2005; Hebb et al. 2006; Ribas 2006; Blake et al; 2007, and references therein. Adding to the census of double-lined eclipsing binary systems is critical because they provide highly accurate, direct measurements of the masses and radii of the components, nearly independent of any model assumptions. While the known number of eclipsing low-mass binaries is small, next generation variability and planet hunting surveys should increase the number of known systems.

Measurements of the masses and radii of the individual components of these low-mass systems have revealed potentially serious in adequacies in stellar evolution models (Ribas 2003, 2006), whereas measurements and models agree for stars over $1 \, M_{\odot}$. These discrepancies apparently extend down into the brown dwarf regime as evidenced by the first L dwarf binary (Stassun, Mathieu & Valenti 2006, 2007). In particular, the mass–radius, mass–temperature and mass–luminosity relationships predicted by stellar theory are inconsistent with a large fraction of observed M dwarf components (e.g. Hebb et al. 2006). Identifying and characterizing new low-mass eclipsing binaries will provide stronger constraints for theoretical models and help reveal the cause of the current discrepancies between observed and predicted properties of low-mass stars.

In this manuscript, we present the discovery of a new double-lined eclipsing binary system, Two-Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS) J01542930+0053266. In Section 2, we outline the photometric and spectroscopic observations of this binary system. Our determination of physical parameters and spectral types is detailed in Section 3. Discussion of the discrepancies between empirical and theoretical mass–radius relations is outlined in Section 4, followed by our conclusions in Section 5.

2 OBSERVATIONS

2.1 2MASS photometry

The calibration observations of the 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006) are a precursor to next generation survey efforts such as the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (Tyson 2002). 2MASS observed the entire sky using three-channel cameras that simultaneously imaged in the J (1.25 µm), H (1.65 µm) and K_s (2.17 µm) passbands. To allow precision cross-calibration of the data, thirty-five 1° × 8.5 arcmin calibration fields were defined. An available calibration field was imaged approximately hourly over the duration of the survey. The total number of epochs obtained for a given calibration field ranges from 600 to 3700. The 2MASS Calibration Point Source Working Data base (Cal-PSWDB) has recently been released as part of the 2MASS Extended Mission (Cutri et al. 2006), and contains a wealth of information on temporal variability (Becker & Cutri, in preparation; Plavchan et al. 2007).

2MASS J01542930+0053266¹ (hereafter J0154) was first identified as a periodic variable in a pilot study of objects in 2MASS calibration region 90 004, which was imaged 2977 times between 1997 July and 2000 November. We extracted light curves for 5770 individual objects from the ensemble of data by clustering measurements taken at different epochs based on their celestial coordinates. For a given light curve, we used the 2MASS photometric quality flag *ph_qual* to reject poor-quality data points (*ph_qual* = D, E, F or X). In total, 965 of these clipped light curves had more than 100 epochs. We phased all clipped light curves using a modified version of the Supersmoother algorithm (Riemann 1994). For each object, the *J*, *H* and *K*_s passbands were phased independently, and the best-fitting periods were compared. The phasing of J0154 yielded periods of 1.319 512 46 d in all three passbands (we note that Supersmoother converged upon an alias of the final period derived for this system). The composite colours of the system (*J* – *H* = 0.66, *H* – *K* = 0.19) suggest it consists of early-type dM stars (Covey et al. 2007).

2.2 SDSS photometry

J0154 lies in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey's (SDSS; Gunn et al. 1998; York et al. 2000; Stoughton et al. 2002; Pier et al. 2003; Gunn et al. 2006) Stripe 82, a \sim 300 deg² equatorial region that has been imaged repeatedly over the course of the SDSS. Stripe 82 is imaged by the SDSS-II Supernova Survey every other night from 2005 September to 2007 December (Frieman et al. 2008). The extensive repeat imaging of this region of sky has enabled precise photometric and astrometric calibration of this Stripe, yielding the Stripe 82 Light-Motion Curve Catalogue (LMCC; Bramich et al. 2008). We extracted the light-motion curve for J0154 from the LMCC, which consists of 32 u, g, r, i and z band (Fukugita et al. 1996; Smith et al. 2002) measurements of the system, including SDSS-I observations as far back as 1998 September and up to the end of SDSS-II supernova survey observations in 2005 December. The catalogue reports a proper motion vector for the system of $\mu_{\alpha} = 0.88 \pm 2.25$ mas yr⁻¹ and $\mu_{\delta} = -11.19 \pm$ 2.25 mas yr^{-1} .

Fig. 1 displays the ensemble light curve, folded at the best-fitting period of 2.639 0157 d. The light curves are ordered from top to bottom and left to right K_s , H, J, z, i, r, g, u. The J, H and K_s data are binned every 30 points. We note that SDSS has no data during the secondary eclipse, resulting in poorly constrained relative optical colours for each star. This system is one of the faintest known eclipsing low-mass systems (r = 18.3), meaning substantial telescope time is required to measure the radial velocity curve to high precision.

2.3 Spectroscopy

2.3.1 Apache point observatory

To confirm our M dwarf classification, we obtained spectroscopic observations of this system using the ARC 3.5-m telescope with the Dual-Imaging Spectrograph (DIS-III) at Apache Point Observatory (APO) on the nights of 2005 November 22, 28 and 2005 December 04 UT. We used the high-resolution gratings (0.84 Å/pixel in the red; 0.62 Å/pixel in the blue) and a 1.5 arcsec slit centred at 6800 Å (red) and 4600 Å (blue). The chips were binned 2 × 1 to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N), and windowed from their original size of 2048 × 2048 k to reduce the readout time between exposures. The approximate wavelength coverage is ~1000 Å in both the red and the blue wavelength regions.

¹ For completeness, we note that the averaged position of this object in the Cal-PSWDB is 01 542 929+0053 272. Because the calibration source astrometry has a slight bias relative to the survey, having been derived from different astrometric standards, we use the designation of the object from the 2MASS All-Sky Point Source Catalogue.



Figure 1. Light curve of J0154 folded at the best-fitting period of 2.6390157 d with J, H and Ks binned every 30 points for clarity.

The spectroscopic reductions were performed using standard IRAF² reduction procedures. The calibration images (bias, flat, arc, flux) used to correct each of the individual spectra were applied only to images taken on the same night. The bias and flat images were observed at the beginning or end of each night. A He–Ne–

² IRAF is written and supported by the IRAF programming group at the National Optical Astronomy Observatories (NOAO) in Tucson, AZ. NOAO is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA), Inc. under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation, http://iraf.noao.edu/. Ar arc lamp spectrum was taken after each exposure on the target star.

A representative spectrum of J0154 is displayed in Fig. 2. These initial spectra clearly demonstrate the features of an M dwarf system. The presence of H α in emission at 6563 Å indicates magnetic activity, although no obvious line splitting is detected. The broad molecular bands of TiO (~7050 Å) are also readily apparent.

2.3.2 Magellan

Two 600-s exposures of J0154 were obtained with the Low Dispersion Survey Spectrograph 3 (LDSS3) on the Magellan II/Clay



Figure 2. Spectrum of J0154 obtained on the ARC 3.5-m telescope with the DIS-III spectrograph (0.84 Å/pixel) on 2005 November 22 UT. Note the $H\alpha$ emission near 6563 Å, indicating chromospheric activity.

telescope on the night of 2005 December 29. The volume phase holographic Blue grating (0.682 Å/pixel; R = 1900) and a 2 arcsec slit were used. The spectra were reduced and calibrated employing standard techniques, which include subtracting a combined bias, subtracting the overscan correction, flat-fielding with quartz lamp observations, cleaning the image of cosmic rays and extracting a region centred on the target star. The dispersion solution was derived from an observation of a He–Ne–Ar arc lamp. Flux calibrations were derived from observations of spectrophotometric standard stars from Oke & Gunn (1983). The spectra were corrected for the continuum atmospheric extinction using mean extinction curves. Telluric lines were removed using a procedure similar to that of Wade & Horne (1988) and Bessell (1999). We use the Magellan spectra to estimate the spectral types of the components in Section 3.2.1.

2.3.3 Keck

We were unable to resolve line splitting in either the APO or Magellan spectra, and therefore made use of the HIRES spectrograph at Keck during observing runs on 2006 October 13, 2006 December 12 and 2007 January 6. Over the three nights, we obtained five spectra at $R \sim 50\,000$ with exposure times ranging from 30 to 40 min each.

The data were reduced using standard IDL routines that included order extraction, sky subtraction and cosmic ray removal. The resulting S/N per pixel (0.06 Å/pixel) ranged from 6 to 9. The detection of H α emission lines in both stars due to magnetic activity allowed measurement of their radial velocities (we were unable to use crosscorrelation techniques on the lower resolution APO and Magellan spectra). An illustrative example of the Keck data is shown in Fig. 3. The H α emission lines were fit to a double Gaussian profile using Levenberg–Marquardt least-squares minimization. Epochs and radial velocities for each of the five observations can be found in Table 1. The radial velocity curve derived from these data is shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 3. Spectrum of J0154 obtained with HIRES (0.06 Å/pixel) on the Keck 10-m telescope on 2006 October 13. Note the line splitting around H α at 6563 Å, yielding emission peaks centred near 6561.5 and 6564.9 Å.

Table 1. Radial velocities.

Date (TDB)	$v_1 ({\rm kms^{-1}})$	$v_2(\mathrm{kms^{-1}})$
54 021.3758	-55.49 ± 2.75	99.51 ± 2.95
54 021.4043	-57.00 ± 2.89	100.90 ± 3.25
54 081.2152	81.77 ± 2.80	-47.93 ± 3.45
54 106.2401	-41.12 ± 3.06	91.28 ± 3.74
54 106.2778	-46.12 ± 3.12	80.38 ± 3.38

Barycentric radial velocities measured from the Keck data on 2006 October 13, 2006 December 12 and 2007 January 6. The errors include a systematic error of 1.75 km s^{-1} which has been added in quadrature to each of the measured velocity uncertainties. Dates are in Modified Julian Day (MJD) corrected to the Solar System Barycenter Dynamic Time (TDB).



Figure 4. Radial velocity curve derived from the Keck data. The system velocity is $19.1 \pm 1.3 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$. Velocities are relative to the Solar System barycenter.

3 ANALYSIS

3.1 Modelling the system

We corrected the times of all observations, as well as measured radial velocities, to the Solar System Barycenter Dynamic Time (TDB). We analysed the light curve of J0154 using the code of Mandel & Agol (2002). All of the orbital elements of the binary were allowed to vary, and we allowed the masses and radial velocity of the centre of mass of the system to vary simultaneously while fitting the

Table 2.	Apparent	brightness,	colours and	limb	darkening	of J0154
----------	----------	-------------	-------------	------	-----------	----------

Filter	Star 1	Star 2	Total	f_2/f_1	$m_1 - K_{s,1}$	$m_2 - K_{s,2}$	$m_{\rm tot} - K_{\rm s,tot}$	u_1	<i>u</i> ₂
и	21.95 ± 0.05	>22.74 ^a	21.9477 ± 0.0461	< 0.95 ^a	$6.67^{+0.247}_{-0.323}$	>7.2 ^a	7.3047 ± 0.0462	0.713	0.734
g	$19.89_{-0.23}^{+0.32}$	$21.26^{+1.22}_{-0.72}$	19.6159 ± 0.0038	$0.2833^{+0.43}_{-0.25}$	$4.61^{+0.072}_{-0.057}$	$5.73_{-0.419}^{+0.875}$	4.9729 ± 0.0043	0.829	0.814
r	$18.59_{-0.23}^{+0.32}$	$19.70^{+1.06}_{-0.59}$	18.2573 ± 0.0039	$0.3581_{-0.26}^{+0.46}$	3.31 ± 0.07	$4.18\substack{+0.670 \\ -0.303}$	3.6143 ± 0.0043	0.808	0.777
i	$17.69^{+0.32}_{-0.23}$	$18.66^{+0.91}_{-0.52}$	17.3164 ± 0.0035	$0.4100_{-0.27}^{+0.48}$	2.41 ± 0.07	$3.13_{-0.238}^{+0.505}$	2.6734 ± 0.0041	0.696	0.672
z	$17.22_{-0.23}^{+0.31}$	$17.99_{-0.44}^{+0.69}$	16.7874 ± 0.0032	$0.4943^{+0.50}_{-0.28}$	1.94 ± 0.06	$2.46^{+0.298}_{-0.167}$	2.1444 ± 0.0038	0.611	0.589
J	$16.08^{+0.29}_{-0.22}$	$16.47_{-0.32}^{+0.42}$	15.5019 ± 0.0013	$0.6979^{+0.53}_{-0.31}$	0.80 ± 0.05	0.94 ± 0.06	0.8589 ± 0.0024	0.481	0.428
Η	$15.46_{-0.23}^{+0.31}$	$15.74_{-0.30}^{+0.41}$	14.8373 ± 0.0015	$0.7672^{+0.58}_{-0.34}$	0.18 ± 0.05	0.22 ± 0.06	0.1943 ± 0.0025	0.453	0.398
$K_{\rm s}$	$15.28\substack{+0.31 \\ -0.24}$	$15.53\substack{+0.40 \\ -0.30}$	14.6430 ± 0.0020	$0.7971\substack{+0.60\\-0.36}$	-	-	_	0.377	0.329

^aIn the *u* band, the best-fitting flux for the second star is zero, so we report 90 per cent limits on the magnitude and colours of this star.

The apparent brightnesses of each component of J0154, as well as the composite system brightness, as derived from our global fit. We also list the adopted limb-darkening parameters $u_{1,2}$ for the components (Claret 2000, 2004). The total system brightness is better constrained than those of the individual components because of the latter's covariance with the radii of the stars as well as the inclination of the system. Due to the anticorrelation between the fluxes of the two stars, the flux ratio (column 5) has larger uncertainties. The colours have smaller errors than the magnitudes since the fluxes in each band are strongly correlated for each star. We quote a single error bar when the positive and negative uncertainties are the same within 10 per cent.

parameters describing the light curve. As both stars are well within their Roche radii and the centripetal acceleration at the equator is three orders of magnitude smaller than the surface gravity, we treated each star as a sphere (and hence ignore gravity darkening). Also, the stars are sufficiently separated that we can ignore reflected light. The one uncertainty in our models is the limb darkening, which should be modest in the infrared (IR) (Claret, Diaz-Cordoves & Gimenez 1995). We find that the assumed limb darkening affects our results very little, so we fix the linear limb-darkening coefficients for each star, $u_{1,2}$, at the values computed by Claret (2000, 2004) for model atmospheres with $T_{\text{eff}} = 3800$ and 3600 for the primary and the secondary, respectively. We assume $\log(g) = 4.5$, [M/H] = 0 and a microturbulent velocity of 2 km s⁻¹ for both, based on the *PHOENIX* atmosphere models of Hauschildt et al. (1999). The limb-darkening parameters are given in Table 2.

Our model contains 25 free parameters, starting with the five orbital parameters:3 the eccentricity and longitude of pericenter combine to two parameters, $e \cos \omega$ and $e \sin \omega$; the inclination, *i*; the time of primary eclipse, T_0 (which can be translated into a time of periapse) and the period, P (which can be translated into a semimajor axis from the total mass of the system). Four parameters describe the bulk stellar properties: R_1, R_2, M_1 and M_2 . The radial velocity of the centre of mass of the system is γ (in km s⁻¹). The fluxes are described by 15 parameters (we hold the u-band flux of the second star fixed at zero as the best-fitting value is negative). For the model fitting, we transformed to the set of parameters suggested by Tamuz, Mazeh & North (2006) which have weaker correlations between the transformed parameters. We found the initial best-fitting model using Levenberg-Marquardt least-squares non-linear optimization giving a best-fitting model with $\chi^2 = 11304.4$ for 9168 degrees of freedom. We found that the scatter of the data outside of eclipse had a Gaussian shape, but with a larger scatter than the errors would warrant. It is possible that this discrepancy is due to variability in the stellar fluxes as the data were gathered over several years, or that the error bars are simply underestimated, so we added a systematic error in quadrature (0.04, 0.03, 0.03, 0.01, 0.02, 0.02, 0.01, 0.26 mag in the K_s , H, J, z, i, r, g, u bands, respectively) such that the reduced χ^2 of the data outside of eclipse in each band is equal to unity, and then refit the entire data set. The resulting χ^2 of 9253.2 has a formal probability $P(\chi^2 > 9253.2) = 26$ per cent for 9168 degrees of freedom. The best-fitting parameters for the brightness of the system are given in Table 2 and the orbital and physical parameters in Table 3.

As the number of free parameters is large and the parameters can be strongly correlated, we ran simulated data sets, adding Gaussian noise to the best-fitting light curve and radial velocity model values. We ran 10⁵ simulations, and for each simulation we re-ran the fitting routine to derive the best-fitting parameters from the simulated data. We used the 10⁵ sets of derived parameters simulations to compute the 1 σ errors on the best-fitting model parameters, sorting each parameter and choosing the 68.3 per cent confidence intervals, which are given in Tables 2 and 3. We computed a 90 per cent confidence upper limits on the *u*-band flux of Star 2 by increasing its value from zero, while minimizing over the other system parameters, until the change in χ^2 was $\Delta \chi^2 = 2.71$. Our analysis yields asymmetric error bars for all system parameters. We quote a single error bar when the negative and positive uncertainties are the same within 10 per cent.

The best-fitting light curve is shown in Fig. 1. The total flux of the two stars is very well constrained due to the large number of data points of high photometric quality. However, the individual fluxes are more poorly constrained due to the small number of points taken during the eclipses, so that uncertainties in the radius, limb darkening and inclination lead to uncertainties in what fraction of each total stellar flux is obscured during primary and secondary eclipse. Thus, the derived fluxes of each star are strongly anticorrelated, which is why the errors on the individual fluxes are much larger than the error on the total flux (Table 2). The fit indicates that in the IR passbands, the eclipses are of very similar depths (0.35 versus 0.31 mag in the K_s band), which is the reason that the original Supersmoother fit converged on an alias of half the period.

The allowed mass–radius parameter space for each star is shown in Fig. 5. Each panel shows the probability distribution of the mass and radius of each star derived from the simulated data sets in units of solar radius and mass. The contours are 1, 2 and 3σ confidence regions (68.3, 95.4 and 99.73 per cent of the 10^5 parameter sets). We compare the derived values to the masses and radii found for other low-mass stars in Section 4.1.

³ The longitude of the ascending node is unconstrained since the reference plane is the sky plane and nothing in our data constrains the position angle of the binary on the sky.

Table 3. Binary fit parameters.

Parameter	Value
$e\cos(\omega)$	0.00142 ± 0.00068
i (radians)	$1.51445^{+0.00839}_{-0.00109}$
$e \sin(\omega)$	-0.006 ± 0.012
T_0 (TDB)	52244.82052 ± 0.00058
<i>P</i> (d)	2.6390157 ± 0.0000016
$R_1 (R_{\odot})$	$0.639^{+0.076}_{-0.090}$
$R_2 (R_{\odot})$	$0.610^{+0.083}_{-0.102}$
$M_1 (\dot{M}_{\odot})$	0.659 ± 0.031
$M_2 (M_{\odot})$	0.619 ± 0.028
$\gamma (\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	19.09 ± 1.28
$M_1/R_1(M_{\odot}/R_{\odot})$	$1.032_{-0.112}^{+0.171}$
$M_2/R_2(M_{\odot}/R_{\odot})$	$1.016^{+0.204}_{-0.124}$
$R_1 + R_2(\mathbf{R}_{\odot})$	$1.248^{+0.021}_{-0.048}$
R_2/R_1	$0.955^{+0.304}_{-0.244}$
a/R_1	$13.652_{-1.455}^{+2.220}$
a/R_2	$14.299^{+2.850}_{-1.707}$
$K_1 ({\rm kms^{-1}})$	80.896 ± 1.811
$K_2 ({\rm kms^{-1}})$	76.062 ± 4.204
$K ({\rm km}{\rm s}^{-1})$	156.959 ± 5.901
$\log[g_1 \ ({\rm cm} {\rm s}^{-2})]$	$4.646^{+0.131}_{-0.098}$
$\log[g_2 \ ({\rm cm} {\rm s}^{-2})]$	$4.659_{-0.111}^{+0.158}$
$\rho_1 ({\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3})$	$3.563^{+2.032}_{-1.023}$
$\rho_2 ({\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3})$	$3.849^{+2.790}_{-1.223}$
$M_1 + M_2(M_{\odot})$	1.278 ± 0.054
M_2/M_1	0.940 ± 0.034
a (R _O)	8.718 ± 0.123
a (au)	0.041 ± 0.001
$b(R_{\odot})$	$0.396^{+0.005}_{-0.050}$
T_2/T_1	$0.947^{+.032}_{-016}$

Best-fitting system and physical parameters of J0154 (above line) and derived parameters (below line). The fitting process is described in Section 3.1. Uncertainties in the parameters are derived from the distribution of best-fitting values for the 10^5 synthetic light curves. We quote a single error bar when the positive and negative uncertainties are the same within 10 per cent.

From our results, we can derive several auxiliary parameters for the stars which have different error bars than if they are computed from the parameters in Table 3 due to covariance between model parameters. We also list in Table 3 (below the horizontal line): the mass-radius ratio for each star, $M_{1,2}/R_{1,2}$; the ratio of the radii of the two stars, R_1/R_2 ; the sum of the stellar radii, $R_1 + R_2$; the ratios of the semimajor axis to the stellar radii, $a/R_{1,2}$; the velocity semiamplitudes, $K_{1,2}$ and the total amplitude K; the surface gravities, log ($g_{1,2}$); the stellar densities, $\rho_{1,2}$ and the total mass of the system, $M_1 + M_2$, which can be used to derive a semimajor axis of the system, a. At mid-eclipse, the projected separation of the stellar centres on the sky is b. The fractional error on $(R_1 + R_2)/a$ is much smaller (~2 per cent) than on R_1 or R_2 individually (>10 per cent) due to strong correlations between R_1/a , R_2/a and *i*, as discussed by Tamuz et al. (2006). Since our derived fluxes of the stars cover the peak in their spectral energy distributions, we have derived the bolometric flux ratio of the stars by smoothly interpolating between the fluxes in the different bands, and then taking the ratio of the two stars. Given the relative sizes and fluxes of the stars, we derive the ratio of the effective temperatures, T_2/T_1 (we cannot derive the absolute fluxes or effective temperatures from the light curve and radial velocity data as we do not know the distance to this system to high precision).

3.2 Spectral types and temperature estimates

While the masses, radii and fluxes for each star are well determined by our modelling procedure, there are other quantities that can be measured from our observations. The spectral types of each component, total space velocity of the system and effective temperature estimates can be determined from our assembled data set.

3.2.1 Binary spectral template matching

In order to estimate the spectral types of this composite system, we constructed a grid of binary spectral templates. The spectra employed in synthesizing the binary templates were drawn from the low-mass stellar templates of Bochanski et al. (2007b), and the K5 and K7 templates used in the HAMMER spectral analysis software package (Covey et al. 2007). Each template spectrum was scaled by its bolometric luminosity (Reid & Hawley 2005) and co-added



Figure 5. Mass-radius parameter space allowed by our data on J0154. The left-hand side figure is for the heavier (primary) object, while the right-hand side figure is for the secondary. The shaded area corresponds to the probability distribution derived from the simulated data sets, while the contours represent the 1, 2 and 3σ confidence regions.



Figure 6. Magellan spectra (0.682 Å/pixel) of J0154 along with the best-fitting binary spectral template. The best-fitting binary template is composed of an M0 + M1.

with all other templates. Relative velocity shifts were introduced for each spectral type pair, ranging from -200 to 200 km s^{-1} in steps of 20 km s^{-1} . The final binary spectra grid consisted of 1638 templates. The templates were normalized to the Magellan observations, and residuals were computed from 4500 to 7000 Å. The best-fitting binary pair for the Magellan spectra is an M0 primary and M1 secondary, with an uncertainty of ± 1 subtype for each component. We did not use the velocity information as a constraint, but rather included the relative shifts for completeness. We adopt these subtypes for each component. The best-fitting composite spectrum is shown in Fig. 6, along with the Magellan data.

3.2.2 Spectral types from optical-IR colours

Using the colour–spectral type relationships for r - i, i - z and i - J derived by West, Walkowicz & Hawley (2005) and Bochanski et al. (2007b), we estimate a spectral type of M0 (± 1 subtype) for the primary and M3 for the secondary. The intrinsic spread in colour at a given spectral type and our measured errors permit a range of spectral types between M0 and M4 for the secondary. Because of this large uncertainty, we adopt the secondary subtype derived from the spectral template analysis, and note that these colour-based results are consistent with the spectroscopic results derived in Section 3.2.1. We estimate a distance of 623 ± 50 pc from the *i*-band photometric parallax (West et al. 2005) of the primary star. At a Galactic latitude of $b = -58^{\circ}$, this binary has vertical distance below the disc of \sim 530 pc, consistent with being a member of the Galactic thin disc.

The thin disc membership of J0154 is strengthened by examining the system's kinematics. The tangential velocity implied by the observed proper motion $(11.2 \pm 3.2 \text{ mas year}^{-1})$ and distance to the system is $33.1 \pm 12.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Added in quadrature with the system radial velocity from the binary fit, we find a space velocity of $38.2 \pm 12.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, again consistent with the thin Disc (Bochanski et al. 2007a).

3.2.3 Metallicity and temperature estimates

We measured the composite CaH2, CaH3 and TiO5 molecular indices in our APO spectra of this system, and find CaH2 = 0.64 ± 0.06 , CaH3 = 0.83 ± 0.03 and TiO5 = 0.64 ± 0.04 . Using these values along with the empirical formula from fig. 2 of Woolf & Wallerstein (2006) yields an effective temperature estimate for the primary of $T_{\rm eff}$ = 3730 ± 100 K, consistent with the M0 spectral type determined from the full spectra. Further, when these measurements are compared with the samples of Lépine, Shara & Rich (2003),



Figure 7. Confidence limits on $b/(R_1 + R_2)$ and R_2/R_1 from the synthetic data sets. The shaded region shows the density of points from the best-fitting parameters to 10^5 simulated data sets, while the contours are 68.3, 95.4 and 99.73 per cent confidence regions.

Woolf & Wallerstein (2006), Burgasser & Kirkpatrick (2006) and West et al. (2008), they suggest that the composite system is of solar or slightly super-solar metallicity, again consistent with thin disc membership.⁴

4 DISCUSSION

Previous studies (e.g. Ribas 2006, and references therein) have demonstrated that current models underpredict the radii of low-mass stars at a given mass. The radii derived for J0154's components ($R_1 =$ $0.64 \pm 0.08 \,\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$ at $M_1 = 0.66 \pm 0.03 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, $R_2 = 0.61 \pm 0.09 \,\mathrm{R}_{\odot}$ at $M_2 = 0.62 \pm 0.03 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$) lie in between different model predictions, and the errors are currently large enough to not yet provide discrimination between the models. The source of the uncertainties on the stellar radii is almost entirely due to the large errors on the photometry given the faintness of this system. A severe bananashaped degeneracy between the impact-parameter (or inclination) and the ratio of the stellar radii occurs due to the large photometric errors (Fig. 7); this is why in Table 3 the fractional error on R_1 + R_2 (~3 per cent) is much smaller than the fractional error on R_2/R_1 (\sim 30 per cent). Within the 68.3 per cent confidence limit, the deviation of the K-band light curve from the best-fitting light curve is only 0.6 per cent, which implies that millimagnitude precision would be required to derive the radius ratio to high accuracy. Below, we discuss the implications of our system with regards to current theoretical and empirical mass-radius relations.

4.1 The empirical mass-radius relationship

In Fig. 8, the masses and radii of known low-mass eclipsing binary systems (López-Morales et al. 2006; López-Morales 2007; López-Morales & Shaw 2007) are plotted along with current empirical (Bayless & Orosz 2006) and theoretical models (Baraffe et al. 1998; Siess, Dufour & Forestini 2000). Bayless & Orosz (2006) have derived an empirical mass-radius relationship for K and M dwarfs from known binaries that stretch up to nearly $0.8 \, M_{\odot}$. We test this empirical mass-radius relation by comparing their expected radii, given our mass measurements, to our measured radii. Their analysis

 $^{^4}$ It should be noted that there exist dMs in Woolf & Wallerstein (2006) with similar CaH and TiO indices to our targets, but with [Fe/H] values below -0.3



Figure 8. Distribution of mass versus radius for known low-mass doublelined eclipsing binary systems (open circles; López-Morales 2007; López-Morales et al. 2006; López-Morales & Shaw 2007; Maxted et al. 2004). The solid line shows an empirical relationship derived by Bayless & Orosz (2006); the dotted line represents the Z = 0.02, Y = 0.275, T = 1 Gyr models of Baraffe et al. (1998); the dashed line represents the Z = 0.02, Y = 0.28, T = 1 Gyr models of Siess et al. (2000). The solid dots correspond to the components of J0154.

predicts $R_1 = 0.67 \pm 0.03 \text{ R}_{\odot}$ and $R_2 = 0.63 \pm 0.03 \text{ R}_{\odot}$, while we measure $R_1 = 0.64 \pm 0.08 \text{ R}_{\odot}$ and $R_2 = 0.61 \pm 0.09 \text{ R}_{\odot}$. Our objects are consistent with the ensemble of eclipsing binary stars used to derive their relationship, and fill in the gap at the high mass, early-dM end of the relationship. The dearth of data in Fig. 8 and the recent discovery of many of these systems reflects that this is an emerging field, only recently enabled by large-scale photometric surveys.

Theoretical models predict mass–radius relations which are a strong functions of both metallicity and age (Baraffe & Chabrier 1996). The predictions of the Baraffe et al. (1998) evolutionary models for objects of solar metallicity and ages of 10^8 – 10^9 years are consistent with J0154, yet disagree with other systems of similar mass. The models tend to systematically underpredict the radii at a given mass as shown in Fig. 8. While the uncertainties on the masses and radii of J0154's components render them an equally good fit to both the Baraffe et al. (1998) theoretical models and Bayless & Orosz (2006) empirical fit, additional photometric and spectroscopic data should yield more precise measurements of these attributes, and better discrimination between models.

For comparison, the models of Siess et al. (2000) are also shown in Fig. 8. The large differences between models with similar inputs for metallicity and age highlight the uncertainty that presently exists in this field. Hopefully, this situation will be remedied by more highprecision measurements of fundamental stellar parameters in binary systems, along with updated models.

4.2 Activity

An interesting aspect of this system is the observed H α emission in both of the components. West et al. (2004) find that less than 5 per cent of isolated M0 and M1 stars show activity (H α equivalent width of at least 1 Å). The activity in early-type M dwarfs is also short lived (<1 Gyr; Hawley, Reid & Tourtellot 2000; West et al. 2008). It would be very unlikely to randomly draw an active M0 and M1 star from the field population, suggesting that some aspect of the interactions between the components is inducing the observed magnetic activity. The improbability of the stars being independently active is furthered when we consider the distance that this pair is from the Galactic plane. It is likely that M0 and M1 dwarfs that are several hundred pc from the Plane have been dynamically heated for many Gyr and have ceased being active (West et al. 2008).

A search through the Palomar/MSU nearby star catalogue of Gizis, Reid & Hawley (2002) shows that a large fraction (20/22) of double-lined spectroscopic M dwarf binaries have magnetically active components (H α equivalent width of at least 1 Å). Other empirical studies (e.g. López-Morales 2007) have suggested that the magnetic activity and metallicity of a star may affect its radius, drawing an explicit connection between the enhanced activity and large radii found in M dwarfs in binary systems. Chabrier, Gallardo & Baraffe (2007) suggest that enhanced magnetic activity may lead to inefficient thermal transport in stellar interiors. This results in objects with larger radii and smaller effective temperatures than stars where magnetic effects are negligible. Rapid stellar rotation may similarly affect the interior convection. In addition, enhanced surface spot coverage (30-50 per cent), due to strong magnetic activity, may impact the stellar radius. Either of these effects may be responsible for the empirical mass-radius relationships found in low-mass binary stars; additional data are required to constrain these theories.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We report the discovery and characterization of the double-lined eclipsing binary system 2MASS J01542930+0053266. Photometric and spectroscopic evidence suggests that both components are M dwarfs, and we adopt classifications of M0 and M1 as their subtypes. We resolve splitting of the H α emission line with spectroscopic observations using HIRES at Keck, leading to a radial velocity curve and estimates of the masses and radii of each star. Simulated data sets created by adding noise to the best fit provide uncertainties on and covariances between the system parameters. We emphasize that there exist complicated degeneracies between parameters in eclipsing systems that can only be fully explored with such detailed analyses.

Our analysis is consistent with previous studies of double-lined eclipsing M dwarf systems. An empirical study by Bayless & Orosz (2006) yields a quadratic mass-radius relationship spanning the range of 0.2–0.8 M_{\odot} and spectral types from late K to late M. This empirical relation accurately predicts the radii of J0154's components.

We observe H α emission from both components, an unlikely scenario given their early-M spectral types and their distance from the Galactic plane. If magnetic activity is enhanced in M dwarfs in binary systems, the binary population including M dwarfs components may present an additional foreground of stellar flares for next generation time domain surveys (Becker et al. 2004; Kulkarni & Rau 2006).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Claire, S. Hawley and M. Solontoi for useful discussions, and H. Bouy for assistance with the Keck observations. EA acknowledges support from NSF CAREER grant AST-0645416. GB thanks the NSF for grant support through AST-0098468. This paper includes data gathered with the 6.5-m Magellan Telescopes located at Las Campanas Observatory, Chile. This publication also makes use of data products from the Two-Micron All-Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the IR Processing and Analysis Centre/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation. This work is based on observations obtained from the W. M. Keck Observatory, which is operated as a scientific partnership among the California Institute of Technology, the University of California and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Funding for the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) and SDSS-II has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, the US Department of Energy, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, the Max Planck Society and the Higher Education Funding Council for England. The SDSS Web site is http://www.sdss.org/.

The SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium (ARC) for the Participating Institutions. The Participating Institutions are the American Museum of Natural History, Astrophysical Institute Potsdam, University of Basel, University of Cambridge, Case Western Reserve University, The University of Chicago, Drexel University, Fermilab, the Institute for Advanced Study, the Japan Participation Group, The Johns Hopkins University, the Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics, the Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, the Korean Scientist Group, the Chinese Academy of Sciences (LAMOST), Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy (MPIA), the Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics (MPA), New Mexico State University, Ohio State University, University of Pittsburgh, University of Portsmouth, Princeton University, the United States Naval Observatory and the University of Washington.

REFERENCES

- Abt H. A., 1983, ARA&A, 21, 343
- Baraffe I., Chabrier G., 1996, ApJ, 461, L51
- Baraffe I., Chabrier G., Allard F., Hauschildt P. H., 1998, A&A, 337, 403
- Bayless A. J., Orosz J. A., 2006, ApJ, 651, 1155
- Becker A. C. et al., 2004, ApJ, 611, 418
- Bessell M. S., 1999, PASP, 111, 1426
- Blake C. H., Torres G., Bloom J. S., Gaudi B. S., 2007, preprint (arXiv:0707.3604)
- Bochanski J. J., Munn J. A., Hawley S. L., West A. A., Covey K. R., Schneider D. P., 2007a, AJ, 134, 2418
- Bochanski J. J., West A. A., Hawley S. L., Covey K. R., 2007b, AJ, 133, 531
- Bramich D. M. et al., 2008, preprint (arXiv:0801.4894)
- Burgasser A. J., Kirkpatrick J. D., 2006, ApJ, 645, 1485
- Burrows A., Hubbard W. B., Saumon D., Lunine J. I., 1993, ApJ, 406, 158
- Chabrier G., Baraffe I., 2000, ARA&A, 38, 337
- Chabrier G., Gallardo J., Baraffe I., 2007, A&A, 472, L17
- Claret A., 2000, A&A, 363, 1081
- Claret A., 2004, A&A, 428, 1001
- Claret A., Diaz-Cordoves J., Gimenez A., 1995, A&AS, 114, 247
- Covey K. R. et al., 2007, AJ, 134, 2398
- Creevey O. L. et al., 2005, ApJ, 625, L127
- Cutri R. M. et al., 2006, Explanatory Supplement to the 2MASS All Sky Data Release and Extended Mission Products. Available at http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass/releases/allsky/doc/explsup.html
- Delfosse X. et al., 2004, in Hilditch R. W., Hensberge H., Pavlovski K., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 318, Spectroscopically and Spatially Resolving the Components of the Close Binary Stars. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 166
- Duquennoy A., Mayor M., Halbwachs J.-L., 1991, A&AS, 88, 281

- Frieman J. A. et al., 2008, AJ, 135, 338
- Fukugita M., Ichikawa T., Gunn J. E., Doi M., Shimasaku K., Schneider D. P., 1996, AJ, 111, 1748
- Gizis J. E., Reid I. N., Hawley S. L., 2002, AJ, 123, 3356
- Gunn J. E. et al., 1998, AJ, 116, 3040
- Gunn J. E. et al., 2006, AJ, 131, 2332
- Hauschildt P. H., Allard F., Baron E., 1999, ApJ, 512, 377
- Hawley S. L., Reid I. N., Tourtellot J. G., 2000, in Rebolo R., Zapatero-Osorio M. R., eds, Very Low-mass Stars and Brown Dwarfs. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 109
- Hebb L., Wyse R. F. G., Gilmore G., Holtzman J., 2006, AJ, 131, 555
- Kulkarni S. R., Rau A., 2006, ApJ, 644, L63
- Lada C. J., 2006, ApJ, 640, L63
- Leinert C., Henry T., Glindemann A., McCarthy D. W., Jr, 1997, A&A, 325, 159
- Lépine S., Shara M. M., Rich R. M., 2003, ApJ, 585, L69
- López-Morales M., 2007, ApJ, 660, 732
- López-Morales M., Ribas I., 2005, ApJ, 631, 1120
- López-Morales M., Shaw J. S., 2007, in Kang Y. W., Lee H.-W., Leung K.-C., Cheng K.-S., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 362, The Seventh Pacific Rim Conference on Stellar Astrophysics. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 26
- López-Morales M., Orosz J. A., Shaw J. S., Havelka L., Arevalo M. J., McIntyre T., Lazaro C., 2006, preprint (astro-ph/0610225)
- Mandel K., Agol E., 2002, ApJ, 580, L171
- Maxted P. F. L., Marsh T. R., Morales-Rueda L., Barstow M. A., Dobbie P. D., Schreiber M. R., Dhillon V. S., Brinkworth C. S., 2004, MNRAS, 355, 1143
- Oke J. B., Gunn J. E., 1983, ApJ, 266, 713
- Pier J. R., Munn J. A., Hindsley R. B., Hennessy G. S., Kent S. M., Lupton R. H., Ivezić Ž., 2003, AJ, 125, 1559
- Plavchan P., Jura M., Kirkpatrick J. D., Cutri R. M., Gallagher S. C., 2007, ApJS, submitted (arXiv:0709.1182)
- Reid I. N., Gizis J. E., 1997, AJ, 113, 2246
- Reid I. N., Hawley S. L., 2005, in Reid I. N., Hawley S. L., eds, New light on Dark Stars: Red Dwarfs, Low-Mass Stars, Brown Dwarfs. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York
- Reid I. N., Hawley S. L., Gizis J. E., 1995, AJ, 110, 1838
- Ribas I., 2003, A&A, 398, 239
- Ribas I., 2006, Ap&SS, 304, 89
- Riemann J., 1994, PhD thesis, Univ. California, Berkeley
- Siess L., Dufour E., Forestini M., 2000, A&A, 358, 593
- Skrutskie M. F. et al., 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
- Smith J. A. et al., 2002, AJ, 123, 2121
- Stassun K. G., Mathieu R. D., Valenti J. A., 2006, Nat, 440, 311
- Stassun K. G., Mathieu R. D., Valenti J. A., 2007, ApJ, 664, 1154
- Stoughton C. et al., 2002, AJ, 123, 485
- Tamuz O., Mazeh T., North P., 2006, MNRAS, 367, 1521
- Tyson J. A., 2002, in Tyson J. A., Wolff S., eds, Proc. SPIE Vol. 4836, Survey and Other Telescope Technologies and Discoveries. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 10
- Wade R. A., Horne K., 1988, ApJ, 324, 411
- West A. A. et al., 2004, AJ, 128, 426
- West A. A., Walkowicz L. M., Hawley S. L., 2005, PASP, 117, 706
- West A. A., Hawley S. L., Bochanski J. J., Covey K. R., Reid I. N., Dhital S., Hilton E. J., Masuda M., 2008, AJ, 135, 785
- Woolf V. M., Wallerstein G., 2006, PASP, 118, 218
- York D. G. et al., 2000, AJ, 120, 1579

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.